

From: [Streamline](#)
To: [BoardComment](#)
Subject: New form submission received: Written Public Comment
Date: Thursday, July 20, 2023 6:43:48 PM



Written Public Comment

First Name:	Linda
Last Name:	Prentiss
Address:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Written Public Comment:	Please consider allowing 100 building permits to folks who own Water Meter Numbers 1-100 and assess the water use in a five-year period thereafter, to decide whether to keep the moratorium now in effect.
Written Comment to be read at::	PROS Commission
Written Comment to be read at::	Board Meeting
Written Comment to be read at::	Finance Committee Meeting
Written Comment to be read at::	Policy Committee Meeting

**Written
Comment
to be read
at::**

Resources & Infrastructure Committee Meeting

[Reply / Manage](#)

Powered by [Streamline](#).

From: [Streamline](#)
To: [BoardComment](#)
Subject: New form submission received: Written Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, August 2, 2023 11:45:11 PM



Written Public Comment

First Name:	Aleta
Last Name:	Francis
Address:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Written Public Comment:	<p>Regarding the Community Park Plan I would like to make 2 suggestions: 1) A comprehensive mail-in survey (can be included in the water/sewage bill) regarding the recreational features proposed for the site to obtain community buy in and prevent any disputes during the permitting process. I appreciate the grass-roots efforts made and that data has merit but is not representative of 100% of the at-large community. For this project to move forward smoothly we must have the support of the majority of the community members. An at-large survey is a key tool in making that happen. I am willing to volunteer to assist with the creation of the survey. This is a more democratic process and something the community members will more likely support, even if they didn't get their way. At least they will feel heard. 2) An updated Community Park Plan should be created for the development of the overall project, including placement of the newly developed recreational features proposed. The last one I can see online was created in 2009. It's nicely done but doesn't represent the current recreational features being proposed. I am willing to serve as an advisor in this effort. I currently work as a Plans Examiner and building inspector. I have experience as a founding Vice Chair of a neighborhood council in Mission Hills, in Los Angeles County in dealing with developments in</p>

	<p>communities. It appears that this project is taking an unreasonably long time to expedite due to lack of global project planning and coordination. Each individual project needs to be brought together to collaborate in a global development effort. Each project can adversely impact another and all the considerations need to be taken into account before this project moves forward. Once we have an overall plan each project can be constructed in phases as the resources become available. We need to design and allocate space for each project as a larger plan. I have studied the maps and I don't see any reason why all of these wonderful features can't reside in the space with some proper planning and a bit of negotiation of space between the projects. The piecemeal approach to installing the recreational features is fodder for trouble in a myriad of ways. I would be happy to consult on the coordination of a larger plan.</p>
Written Comment to be read at::	PROS Commission
Written Comment to be read at::	Board Meeting
Written Comment to be read at::	Resources & Infrastructure Committee Meeting

[Reply / Manage](#)