

Buildout Reduction Program Citizens' Committee
(BRPCC)

REGULAR MEETING
Tuesday, May 17, 2016 - 3:00 PM

MINUTES

1. OPENING

A. CALL TO ORDER

Committee Member Siegler called the meeting to order at 3:01 p.m.

B. ESTABLISH QUORUM

Committee Members Present:

Ted Siegler, Crosby Swartz, Allison Groves, Sue Robinson and Greg Hunter sat in for Mel McColloch and Mark Rochefort

Absent: Mel McColloch, Mark Rochefort

Alternates Committee members present:

Laura Swartz, Cindy Steidel, Bob Sfarzo

Staff Present:

Haley Dodson, Confidential Administrative Assistant

Ex-Officio members present: Glenn LaJoie (phone)

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Public Comment: None

3. REGULAR BUSINESS

A. Approve Minutes from Special Meeting on April 18, 2016 and Regular Meeting on May 3, 2016

Committee Member Groves wanted to clarify from the minutes on April 18, 2016, under Section C, she didn't volunteer to take notes for the Committee and provide feedback to the District General Manager, as noted.

Committee Member Swartz moved to approve the minutes. Committee Member Groves seconded the motion.

Motion was approved: 5-Ayes, 0-Nos

B. Historical Background of BRP

Committee Member Siegler said in thinking about this background committee, it would be a good idea to spend time on the legal framework and how it relates to the loss of value for lot owners. We need to address that in the report.

Committee Member Siegler stated the BRP Committee is lucky to have an excellent slate of alternates and he would like to consider a ten person committee. It would require action from the board and consideration at the next month's meeting.

C. Progress toward Identifying Undeveloped Lots

Committee Member Hunter handed out a Background Subcommittee Report (attached).

She stated in looking at the background of undeveloped lots, the following things need to be clarified:

1. What is a buildable lot?

2. What is not a buildable lot?
3. What are the buildable lots in Cambria?
4. What is the actual likelihood the lot will be built on?
5. If a lot is retired, we assume that it gets reassessed, what would be the cost to the county?

D. Progress of Identifying All Outstanding Active & Inactive Service Commitments

Committee Member Swartz stated we are losing fees and that seems like a lot of money. Committee Member Siegler doesn't know if any new meters have been connected. Committee Member Swartz said there has to be connections since 2008. Committee Member Groves said the information should be readily available and it's not.

Committee Member Hunter stated he met with the County of San Luis Obispo to extract information on lots we were interested in and also working on getting information regarding merged lots.

Committee Member Siegler stated the BRP is working with CCSD to accumulate documents and have it available on the webpage to the Committee and public. The BRP Committee received an email from CCSD with a list of water meters that are outstanding, a current waitlist, multiple family list, and commercial lists. Committee Member Siegler stated he hasn't been able to tie the data back to the Water Master Plan.

Committee Member Swartz said CCSD is only responsible for lots that are undeveloped. Cal Fire has a program for developed lots and we don't know how accessible their list is.

Committee Member Siegler stated we are putting together a plan and the data needs to be reliable. The plan needs to be updated every 2-3 years.

Committee Member Siegler said the North Coast area plan has mixed use of commercial property and residential units. There is no separate tracking of mixed use meters on a commercial account.

Questions to CCSD:

1. If there is mixed use development on commercial property, would CCSD provide a residential meter for a residential unit on that property? Or would CCSD require them to run it through a commercial meter?
2. Does CCSD have a policy of single properties with mixed use?

Committee Member Swartz asked if we are mandated to do affordable housing for low income, does affordable housing have to pay the water connection fees for the Buildout Reduction Plan? Water is water in terms of usage. Money is going to be an important issue. Committee Member Siegler questioned how the affordable housing waitlist will be capped; it's supposedly covered under the 4650.

4. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

A. Schedule next meeting

Consensus was reached to hold the next meeting on Tuesday, June 14, at 10:00 a.m.

5. ADJOURN

Committee Member Robinson motioned to adjourn the meeting at 5:10 p.m. Committee Member Swartz seconded the motion.

Motion was approved: 5-Ayes, 0-Nos

