From:	noreply@getstreamline.com
То:	Haley Dodson
Subject:	New form submission assigned to you: Written Public Comment
Date:	Monday, February 20, 2023 8:46:47 AM

	Vritten Public Comment		
First Name:	Linda		
Last Name:	Prentiss		
Address:			
Email:			
Written Public Comment:	Please consider allowing 50 Water Meter holders to build each year for two years to test the effect on the water supplies. THANK YOU		
Written Comment to be read at::	PROS Commission		
Written Comment to be read at::	Board Meeting		
Written Comment to be read at::	Finance Committee Meeting		
Written Comment to be read at::	Policy Committee Meeting		
Written Comment to be read at::	Resources & Infrastructure Committee Meeting		

Reply / Manage

From: To: Subject: Date:	Haley Do New form	getstreamline.com dson n submission assigned to you: Written Public Comment February 26, 2023 12:05:37 PM
	Written P	ublic Comment
	First Name:	Kermit
	Last Name:	Johansson
	Address:	
	Email:	
	Written Public Comment:	Request discussion: 1. Why the PROS Commission has 6 Commissioners instead of 5 as Bylaws state. \ 2. Who will respond to this inquiry and can I continue dialog with the responding Commissioner on their email? 3. Following/Attending the meetings are easier to attend on Zoom rather than in person. Could you return to that format.
	Written Comment to be read at::	PROS Commission

Reply / Manage

Powered by Streamline.

From:	Holly Ludwigson
To:	BoardComment
Subject:	PROS Commission non-agenda item public comment for March 7, 2023
Date:	Monday, March 6, 2023 6:31:18 PM

Please read this during public comment on topics NOT on the March 7, 2023 PROS Commission meeting agenda. Thank you.

>>

>>> I would like to request that the acting general manager of CCSD annually review the existing social media policy (policy 2415 approved by CCSD on 9/16/2021) with members of all standing committees. The social media policy outlines approved guidelines regarding commenting and posting on social media sites about CCSD business by "District employees and District officials, as well as consultants and contractors performing work on behalf of the District" as stated in the policy's Purpose.

>>>

>>> I feel a formal and annual review of the existing social media policy with members of standing committees is warranted based on posts and comments containing mis-information and unsupported allegations that show up on Next Door and local Facebook sites by some standing committee members

>>>

>>> Two examples come to mind: Mis-information by a Finance Committee member about components of the most recent Prop 218, and the recent accusation by a policy committee member that CCSD is influencing community members' trash bin choices in order for CCSD to benefit financially.

>>>

>>> In the interest of CCSD board transparency and greater community involvement, I cannot understand how standing committee members' incorrect social media posts/comments could be anything but harmful to community members' understanding of current CCSD concerns and projects, besides being in violation of the CCSD policy on Social Media communications.

>>>

>>> I recognize how tantalizing it is to speak from an "official" position having "insider" information, but sharing misunderstood/prejudiced, and incorrect information on social media sites is dangerous.

>>>

>>> Since the current and approved CCSD Social Media Policy policy appears to be consistently observed by Board Members, but not by all committee members, an annual review of the policy with committee members could be beneficial.

>>>

>>> Thank you.

>>>

>>> Holly Ludwigson

>>> Homeowner, small business owner and full-time resident of Cambria

>>