From: <u>Crosby Swartz</u>

To: BoardComment; Karen Dean; Tom Gray; Debra Scott; Harry Farmer; Michael Thomas; Matthew McElhenie; Ray

<u>Dienzo</u>

Subject: Public Comment on July 13, 2023 Agenda Item 8.A WRF Permit

Date: Thursday, July 13, 2023 12:27:38 PM

We support the phased approach to applying for permits to operate the Water Reclamation Facility. Adding new connections to the initial permit application will delay permit approval because water availability questions and growth inducing impacts will need to be resolved. However, it is not clear from the information in the agenda package if the District is requesting a permit for Phase 1 only, with additional permits to follow, or one permit for Phases 1, 2 and 3. Please clarify.

Another concern is that the ability of the WRF to supplement the District's potable water supply has not been verified by operational test or groundwater modeling. For example, Table 1 - AWTP Flows, on page 66 of the agenda package, states that 581 gallons per minute can be extracted from supply well 9P7 located at the percolation pond, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. This pumping rate is approximately double the rate of percolation pond recharge with treated effluent from the WWTP, creating a rapid and unsustainable water level drop in the lower part of the aquifer. The District's claim that the WRF will supply 250 acre feet during an extended dry season must be verified before decisions are made about the future potable water supply for our community.

Haley Dodson

From: Streamline <noreply@specialdistrict.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 7:30 PM

To: BoardComment

Subject: New form submission received: Written Public Comment



Written Public Comment

First Name:	Dennis
Last Name:	Dudzik
Address:	
Email:	
Written Public Comment:	Dennis Dudzik's Comments to be read at the CCSD Board of Directors Meeting, July 13, 2023 Agenda Item 8A The CCSD Staff is recommending the inappropriate, unnecessary, and misleading deletion of language in the CDP Project Description (bottom of Page 6 and top of Page 7). The additional text suggested to be added by the staff solves the real concerns with the existing text. There is no need (or justification) to strike out the text relating to the ultimate watery delivery goal of the project (to support serving 4,650 residential units). The General Manager and District Engineer's Board Packet memo makes it clear that the District intends to "Achieve Residential Connection target of 4,650". Given that this is the District's stated goal, this goal needs to an integral part of the CDP Project Description. The following is the text, including the production and environmental sensitivity language, required to achieve the urgent objectives of accelerating the California Coastal Commission approval of the CDP, meeting the needs of the community, and protecting the environment. AWTP Production Flows. Table 1 summarizes recoveries, waste flows, and treatment process capacities for MF and RO systems required to meet the target potable water augmentation goals, while maintaining the operational stability of the San Simeon aquifer, without adversely impacting environmentally sensitive habitat in Van Gordon Creek and San Simeon Creek. The target potable water augmentation goals per day

(GPD) over six months) and San Simeon Creek Lagoon water recharge of 62 AFY (112,980 GPD over six months). The water supply augmentation goal of 250 AFY was determined to meet the minimum capacity necessary to improve water supply reliability to serve approximately 4,650 residential units (including both existing connections and CCSD-approved wait list customers) accommodated by the adopted Local Coastal Program. This residential service connection goal was established through Board action as part of the proposed Buildout Reduction Program and is included within the County's North Coast Area Plan, as well as other CCSD water resource management studies. We can all work together for the future of Cambria and the environment. Thank you for making these critical corrections. Dennis Dudzik, PE Bradford Lot Owner

Written Comment to be read at:: **Board Meeting**

Reply / Manage

Powered by Streamline.

From:

To:

Subject:

BoardComment

Building Request

Date: Friday, July 7, 2023 9:49:38 AM

Hello. I have owned vacant lots on Norwich with a Water Meter (91 maybe) for several years always paying my Property Taxes on time, thinking someday you could stand 100 more homes over there. SINCE I'M 75 NOW, I'M GETTING DESPERATE Anything in the plans to help me out a bit? Like a limit of bedrooms and no grass-yards OR?

Sent from Mail for Windows

From:
To: BoardComment
Subject: Johnathan Gibson

Date: Thursday, July 13, 2023 11:57:37 AM

Extending my best regards to Johnathan Gibson, and wishing him and his family happiness in their next adventures. Johnathan has been a quiet leader, dedicated to his family, his fellow first responders, and his community. Thanks for looking out for all of us, and for being a role model for those who follow you in public service.

Michael Calderwood, Cambria

From: <u>Streamline</u>
To: <u>BoardComment</u>

Subject: New form submission received: Written Public Comment

Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 7:47:59 PM



Written Public Comment

First Name:	Ron
Last Name:	Keck
Address:	
Email:	
Written Public Comment:	Agenda item 8A, Meeting on July 13, 2023 Dear CCSD Board members I urge you not to support the proposed 3 phase WRF CDP change. I cannot help but think, based on 20 plus years of being a lot owner unable to build,, that this would be used to further erode our rights to build on our land. Once the existing homes have their guaranteed water source, some members in the community will again find ways to keep kicking the can down the road and be in no hurry to provide water to CCSD waitlist owners, despite having the water that would allow them to do so. Twenty years of watching the vocal minority in Cambria eroding landowners's rights must come to an end. Thank you. Ron and Donna Keck
Written Comment to be read at::	Board Meeting

Reply / Manage