As a many-year tax payer on vacant land, because of the no-build rule, I HIGHLY resent any public bathrooms being considered by Parks&Recreation or any other entity. The no new water facilities should be the same for all. THANK YOU

Sent from Mail for Windows

From:	Laylon Whittaker
To:	BoardComment
Subject:	Dog Park
Date:	Friday, January 12, 2024 9:01:47 AM

It's a broken record but there still isn't a sign down there with hours.

Won't make much difference as my husband and I have to hear barking all morning into the evening.

I was told that when we ever list our house for sale we have to put that there's a nuisance down there, which is going to decrease the value of our home. That's pretty depressing.

Everybody brings their dogs there to bark bark bark bark bark. We have to hear it all day long then they take them home, where they don't have to listen to them bark bark bark bark bark.

I was told that the county can be sued if there's a nuisance down there we're talking to an attorney and I really don't want to, but in my opinion, that dog needs to be moved over to the right.

The eucalyptus trees were down there before they did the EIR, which did help with the noise. We searched years for the best quiet place to build a house in 1999 so my husband could paint and here we go years later it's pretty depressing.

Laylon and John Whittaker Laylon Girl in Charge Celebrating 32Years Vaultgallery.com



PUBLIC COMMENT

I thank General Manager McElhenie and Utilities Manager Jim Green for their presentation at last night's NCAC meeting. We also exchanged some email messages, which helped me understand the EWS/SWF/WRF Coastal Development Permit application process going forward. I remain confused about some aspects, which I ask you to allow him to clarify today.

Will the CDP Project Description that utilities staff and consultants intend to deliver to SLO County Planning on January 30, along with project components and the completion matrix, whatever that is, be a final version or a draft? The board unanimously decided at the April 20 2023 meeting that the staff should revise the previous project description, a job that has now been outsourced to consultant SWCA, "to bring the proposed draft of a modified WRF project description back to the Board and hold a public hearing to receive community input prior to discussion and consideration of moving forward to submittal of the updated modified WRF project description to SLO County for the permit application."

When will the requested public hearing be held? Will public comment from the community be considered in revising the Project Description again? How will that version be made available to the public?

When can the public hear from Kevin Merk on the biological studies? The Adaptive Management Plan? What about the steelhead?

Thank you for clarifying these drafts and dates for the public.

--Christine Heinrichs